1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO		
3	In Re: ) Docket No. 3:17-BK-3283(LTS)		
4	)		
5	) PROMESA Title III The Financial Oversight and )		
6	Management Board for ) Puerto Rico, ) (Jointly Administered)		
7	as representative of )		
8	The Commonwealth of ) Puerto Rico, et al. ) January 27, 2021		
9	)		
10	Debtors, )		
11			
12	OMNIBUS HEARING		
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15	AND THE HONORABLE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE JUDITH GAIL DEIN		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
17			
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	ALL PARTIES APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY		
20			
21	For The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al.: Mr. Martin J. Bienenstock, PHV		
22	Mr. Brian S. Rosen, PHV		
23	For Puerto Rico Fiscal		
24	Agency and Financial Advisory Authority: Mr. Luis C. Marini Biaggi, Esq.		
25			
	l		

1	APPEARANCES, Continued:
2	
3	For Luis Duprey Rivera and Joe Colon Perez: Mr. Luis Rodriguez Munoz, Esq.
4	For Asociacion de Maestros de
5	Puerto Rico: Mr. Jose Luis Barrios Ramos, Esq.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Proceedings recorded by stenography. Transcript produced by
25	CAT.

1		IND	EX	
2	WITNESSES:		PAGE	
3	None.			
4				
5	EXHIBITS:			
6	None.			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
I	I			I

San Juan, Puerto Rico 1 2 January 27, 2021 At or about 9:39 AM 3 4 THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Swain 5 speaking. 6 7 MS. NG: Good morning, Judge. This is Lisa. Everybody's here. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 Ms. Tacoronte, would you please call the case? 10 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good morning, Your Honor. 11 The United States District Court for the District of 12 Puerto Rico is now in session. The Honorable Judge Laura 13 Taylor Swain presiding. Also present, Honorable Magistrate 14 Judge Judith Dein. God save the United States of America and 15 this Honorable Court. 16 In re: The Financial Oversight and Management Board 17 for Puerto Rico, as representative of the Commonwealth of 18 Puerto Rico, PROMESA Title III, Case No. 2017-BK-3283, for 19 Omnibus Hearing. 20 Thank you, Ms. Tacoronte. And good 21 THE COURT: 22 morning to you as well. Buenos dias. Welcome, counsel, parties in interest, 2.3 and members of the public and press. Today's telephonic 2.4 25 Omnibus Hearing is occurring in what continue to be

2.3

2.4

challenging times for all stakeholders in these Title III proceedings. Our thoughts remain with all of the people on the island and on the mainland, especially those who are affected by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. We continue to hope for a healthy and safe new year for everyone.

To ensure the orderly operation of today's telephonic hearing, all parties on the line must mute their phones when they are not speaking. If you are accessing these proceedings on a computer, please be sure to select "mute" on both the Court Solutions dashboard and your phone. When you need to speak, you must unmute on both the dashboard and the phone.

I remind everyone that consistent with court and judicial conference policies, and the orders that have been issued, no recording or retransmission of the hearing is permitted by any person, including but not limited to the parties, members of the public, or the press. Violations of this rule may be punished with sanctions.

I will be calling on each speaker during these proceedings. When I do, please identify yourself by name for clarity of the record. After the speakers listed on the Agenda for each of today's matters have spoken, I may provide an opportunity for other parties in interest to address briefly any issues raised during the course of the presentations that require further remarks. If you wish to be heard under these circumstances, please state your name

2.3

2.4

clearly at the appropriate time. Don't just use the "wave" on the Court Solutions dashboard. I will call on the speakers if more than one person wishes to be heard.

Please don't interrupt each other or me during the hearing. If we interrupt each other, it is difficult to create an accurate transcript. Having said that, I apologize in advance for breaking this rule, as I may interrupt if I have questions or if you go beyond your allotted time. If anyone has difficulty hearing me or another participant, please say something immediately.

The Agenda, which was filed at Docket Entry No. 15730 in Case No. 17-3283, is available to the public at no cost on Prime Clerk for those interested. I encourage each speaker to keep track of his or her own time. The Court will also be keeping track of the time and will alert each speaker when there are two minutes remaining with one buzz, and when time is up, with two buzzes. Here is an example of the buzz sound.

THE COURT: If your allocation is two minutes or less, you will just hear the final two buzzes. If we need to take a break, I will direct everyone to disconnect and dial back in at a specified time.

(Sound played.)

Before we address the first Agenda item, I would like to acknowledge the Court's receipt and careful review of the Fee Examiner's status report, which was filed at Docket Entry

2.3

2.4

No. 15666 in Case No. 17-3283. The Court thanks the Fee Examiner for his thorough report and for the essential services he provides in these cases. The Fee Examiner's attention to the magnitude of expenses, the principles that should govern billing in these cases, and the concerns that the Court has repeatedly emphasized is important and much appreciated. These matters are never far from the Court's mind and will inform the Court's final determinations. They should be heeded by all billing parties.

Next, we turn to the first Agenda item, which is, as usual, status reports from the Oversight Board and AAFAF. As I requested in the Procedures Order, these reports have been made in writing in advance of this telephonic hearing and are available on the public docket at Docket Entry Nos. 15739 and 15738, respectively, in Case No. 17-3283. I thank the Oversight Board and AAFAF for the care and detail reflected in the reports, which I find quite comprehensive. I will call on them, respectively, for any further remarks.

First, from the Oversight Board, would you unmute on both the dashboard and the phone, and make any further remarks?

MR. BIENENSTOCK: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.

This is Martin Bienenstock of Proskauer Rose, LLP, for the

Oversight Board. We don't have any additional comments, but

of course are ready to answer any questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

unions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bienenstock, and good morning. I don't have further questions for you today. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. And for AAFAF, would anyone like to speak? MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. Luis Marini of Marini Pietrantoni Muniz for AAFAF. If I may, Your Honor, I do have one point that I would like to supplement our status report on briefly, just to comment on one particular issue regarding the government's and AAFAF's continuing efforts to resolve their claims. AAFAF'S representatives have been working with the Oversight Board and with the union, Employees' Union representatives to issue a circular letter that informs government agencies, instrumentalities, and public corporations that they should resume reconciling non-filed public employee claims according to the applicable ordinary course procedures. This should allow for the resolution of employee claims for which no proof of claim was filed or

We just wanted to supplement that particular point to our report. And, of course, I'm available if the Court has any questions on our status report.

required. And AAFAF intends to circulate the letter within a

week of it being finalized by the Board, AAFAF, and the

2.3

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Marini Biaggi. I don't have further questions on the status report, but I would like to ask one follow-up question on the matter that you just mentioned.

Will this reconciliation of the unfiled Employee
Union claims be part of what we've called the administrative
claim reconciliation, or ACR procedures that I've approved, or
will this be a different procedure?

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: This claim -- no proof of claim was filed, and, in fact, it's awaiting -- reconciled depending on the agency's and the government's ordinary course. So it's a matter of administrative procedures, but the intent is that they be reconciled and paid through the particular government agency's ordinary procedures.

THE COURT: Thank you. So this would not be a matter of referring something that was filed as a proof of claim into the procedure, but, rather, looking at the union and the agency's own records and resolving them in the ordinary course; is that correct?

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for helping me to understand that. And thank you for your report and supplemental report.

Is there anyone else who wishes to comment or make a question as to the reports? I will wait 30 seconds so that

2.3

2.4

anyone can unmute on the dashboard and their phone and state their name if they wish to be heard at this point.

MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Your Honor, Counsel Jose Luis
Barrios for Asociacion de Maestros de Puerto Rico, an
affiliate of American Federation of Teachers. We would like
to -- I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. You may proceed. Would you just state your name one more time?

MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Yes, Your Honor. Attorney Jose
Luis Barrios on behalf of Asociacion de Maestros de Puerto
Rico, an affiliate of American Federation of Teachers.

Your Honor, respectfully, as to the point that

Counsel Marini just pointed out, and to address your question,

we have -- we have discussed with AAFAF and the Board that

these claims that are part -- or that are not dealt with in

the Plan of Adjustment, because the Board had stated on the

bar notice they -- that were not needed to be subject to a

proof of claim, now that we're working on this circular

letter, it includes not only grievances claims, but normal

employee claims and, also, tax refunds. So, as you correctly

stated, it would be all those claims, not subject to a proof

of claim, that are part of the ACR Order that Your Honor

issued.

THE COURT: Yes. Are you still there?

MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Yes, I'm here, Your Honor.

2.3

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. It seemed like the sound cut off for a moment there, so I wanted to make sure we are all still here.

So you're indicating that this is normal employee compensation, and other types of claims, as well as grievances, and, also, tax refunds? What sorts of taxes would that be?

MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Oh, Your Honor, our understanding of the ACR Order, and we're advocating on behalf of employee claims and grievance claims, but it's that your -- the ACR Order that you issued will comprehend any claims within those definitions that were not subject to a proof of claim, that were filed as of May 3rd, 2017. And that's the point we want to clear out or inquire to Mr. Marini.

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.

Mr. Marini, would you please further clarify?

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Yes, Your Honor.

First, I will clarify that, you know, AAFAF will work with the unions to clear up any language or any issue also of how does the Court -- yes, Your Honor. The circular letter refers to various types of employee claims. It refers to pension claims of the employees, to complaints and grievances, public employee claims. And what it provides is that they will continue in the ordinary process of evaluation, resolution, and its required payment in the ordinary course of

the particular government agency's claims.

2.3

2.4

I believe it is also contemplating the ACR that provides, for that type of claim, they will be evaluated and processed in the ordinary course.

THE COURT: So, a few moments ago I think I understood you to say that these would not technically be in the ACR, because the ACR procedure itself applies to claims that were actually filed as proofs of claims that fall into these categories; but that pursuant to this letter arrangement, they will be handled in the ordinary course in a way that is similar to the ACR. Is that correct?

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: They will be handled in the ordinary course of that particular agency's or government's process. They will be evaluated, resolved, and in the course — paid in the particular agency's or government's ordinary course.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Barrios, does that answer your question?

MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Your Honor, if I may, our understanding, as I expressed before, is that pursuant to the language of the ACR Order entered by the Court, claims not subject to a proof of claim, but that do qualify as employee claims, grievance claims under the definition of the ACR Order, are part of the ACR Order, whether they are subject to a proof of claim or not. And that they will be dealt with,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

like Mr. Marini said, in the ordinary course, throughout the existing administrative proceedings, but that they will also, in the case that the claimant is successful in their claim, be paid in the ordinary course, pursuant to the same terms as the ACR Order established for claims subject to a proof of claim. THE COURT: All right. I don't have the specific ACR Order in front of me right now. But Mr. Marini has said that AAFAF is engaged in these conversations, and so what I would urge you to do is to take up directly with Mr. Marini anything that you feel is ambiguous in working toward this letter, understanding; and if anything further needs to be brought to the Court to implement it, I will trust that that will be done in a way consistent with the Case Management Order. Is that satisfactory to you? MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Barrios. And thank you, Mr. Marini. Does anyone else wish to be heard at this point? will wait another 20 seconds, in case anyone wants to state his name or her name. MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Your Honor, only one last point, if I may. This matter --THE COURT: Is this Mr. Barrios again? MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. We'd just like to make reference that this matter as

2.3

2.4

to the claims that are not subject to a proof of claim be also part of the ACR Order. It's covered in the first footnote of the ACR Order.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Again, if this is not something that you are able to discuss and reconcile in the discussions, I will expect that some sort of appropriate application would be made to the Court; but it does sound as though AAFAF's goal is to come up with an understanding that is comprehensive and workable. And so I will expect that Mr. Marini will take seriously any concern about coordination of the procedures, as you do, so that the letter will be appropriate. Thank you.

MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Thank you, Your Honor. And I -- and I just misspoke. It's the third footnote of the ACR Order. Sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right then. The third footnote of the ACR Order is what needs particular attention in these discussions. Thank you again, Mr. Barrios.

MR. BARRIOS RAMOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'll wait a couple more seconds for anyone else.

(No response.)

THE COURT: Very well then. We will now go on to the one contested matter that is on today's Agenda, which is the Duprey Rivera Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay, which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

is Docket Entry No. 15186 in Case No. 17-3283. We have allotted ten minutes for the argument of that motion, beginning with Mr. Rodriguez Munoz for three minutes for the movants. Mr. Rodriguez Munoz, would you please unmute on the dashboard and on your phone? MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Attorney Luis Rodriquez Munoz. I'm with the law firm of Landron Vera. We represent Mr. Luis Duprey and Mr. Joe Colon Perez. THE COURT: Good morning. MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: I am ready to proceed. THE COURT: Yes. You may proceed now. MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, I would start off by apologizing for the mishap the last time this matter was scheduled. Unfortunately, my office and myself were not very versed in the Court Solutions system. That said, Your Honor, we ask again that the Court grant the Lift of Stay in the instant case. What we're asking the Court to do, and for -- to be paid, Your Honor. It's a very minimal sum. We're only looking that the government pay \$33,203.75, in a judgment that for the most part has been paid, but for the attorney fees, Your Honor. These attorney fees are imposed based on statute. PROMESA, as the Court is

aware, did not change or alter our obligation under these statutes. And it's very important, Your Honor, that this be allowed to proceed.

Unfortunately, the legislature does not permit attorneys to cost the expense of these litigations to their particular clients, but, instead, has designed it in such a way to make these fees punitive, Your Honor, because, as was the result here, this was an unlawful termination. And as a result --

(Sound played.)

2.3

2.4

MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: -- there's a desire to further and promote lawyers from taking these cases. If these cases are not allowed and allowed to linger within the PROMESA bankruptcy, Your Honor, it would have a very chilling effect on the services rendered in these communities, Your Honor.

Again, we believe in our motion we set out the parameters sufficient for the stay to be lifted, allow this matter to move forward, and to a final conclusion, Your Honor. With that said, Your Honor, I yield the rest of my time but for the rebuttal.

THE COURT: I do have a question for you. In your motion, you cite Section 523(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, arguing that this is a punitive award, and, therefore, something that could be classified as an intentional tort and nondischargeable. As the debtor has pointed out in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Response, Section 523 applies to individual debtors, and is not incorporated into PROMESA. Do you have a response to that? MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: Your Honor, I would submit, Your Honor, this is a nondischargeable debt, because, again, the underlying litigation in this case was a wrongful discharge. As such, we have an intentional act to remove this person from this position. Normally, all of this would be included as direct payment to the plaintiff in that case, Mr. Luis Duprey Rivera. And that's our basis, Your Honor, for understanding that the case is nondischargeable. THE COURT: Thank you. And so I will turn now to counsel for AAFAF, Mr. Marini Biaggi. MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Good morning, Your Honor. Luis Marini of Marini Pietrantoni Muniz for AAFAF. May I be heard, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, please. MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Your Honor, the motion seeks to lift the automatic stay to allow movants to collect a prepetition award of attorneys' fees issued by the Commonwealth Court in connection with prepetition litigation. However, the movants have failed to make any showing that cause exists to lift the automatic stay among the factors used

2.3

2.4

by the courts in this circuit to make such a determination. Further, allowing movants to pursue collection of their claim against HTA would unduly effect HTA's restructuring efforts and prejudice similarly situated creditors.

We went, in our objection, through the case law, through the movants' factors, and we submitted there that all of the factors used by this Court and other courts in this circuit, all of the *Sonnax* factors, merit denial of the motion. I will briefly mention some of the more critical ones.

First, the first Sonnax factor, which talks about whether relief would result in complete or partial resolution of the issues, weighs against lifting the stay. That factor does not focus on the issues in the stay prepetition litigation. It focuses on the issues of whether resolution of the litigation would resolve issues relevant to the bankruptcy case.

Movants have not identified any bankruptcy issue related to HTA's Title III case that will be resolved by allowing the claim to continue. In fact, no bankruptcy issue will be resolved, other than going contrary to the goal of centralizing disputes concerning the government as part of the reorganization.

The second *Sonnax* factor, the lack of any connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case, also weighs

2.3

2.4

against lifting the stay. If movant seeks relief to -- of the stay to require HTA to disburse tens of thousands of dollars for an attorney fee award, allowing movant to collect their claim would interfere with the orderly restructuring of HTA's debts, preferring one creditor over another.

Now, the issue of dischargeability that Your Honor asked brother counsel about, they assert that the debt is -- or the claim is nondischargeable. As we said in our paper, we submit that that argument is misplaced.

First, the section that they rely on, Bankruptcy Code Section 523, was not incorporated into PROMESA.

Second, even if it were incorporated, it does not apply to movants' claim, because that applies to debts arising from willful and malicious injury by the debtor. For this exception to apply, as we cited in our papers to cases from the First Circuit, it requires a showing of intent to injure, which hasn't been shown --

(Sound played.)

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: -- or proven in the motion.

Third, even if the claim were to be exempt from discharge, which it is not, that does not mean that lifting the stay for collection would not interfere with the ongoing restructuring efforts.

Fourth Sonnax factor doesn't apply. There's no specialized tribunal to hear this case.

2.3

2.4

Fifth Sonnax factor doesn't apply. There's no insurance carrier that's accepted financial responsibility for the claim.

Sixth doesn't apply. There are no third parties other than the debtor that have been identified in the action.

The seventh was a litigation with prejudice to interests of other creditors, does not support granting the motion, because it would, in fact, prejudice and place an undue advantage, this movant above other creditors that are waiting for a plan of adjustment to be filed to make payment on their claims.

Tenth factor, the interest of judicial economy, weighs against granting the motion. Judgment has already been entered, and there's no pending litigation to be resolved.

Finally, the 12th factor, the balancing of harms weighs also against granting the motion. The impact on HTA, if the motion were granted, would outweigh any harms that movant would suffer if the stay remains in place. HTA will be required to disburse tens of thousands of dollars, and the divergence of HTA's resources will prejudice the interests of other similarly situated creditors that are waiting for the plan of adjustment to be filed.

Your Honor, we detailed our objections and the case law supporting it in our pleadings. I read from them. And

1 I'm available to answer any questions that the Court may have. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Marini. I don't have 3 questions for you, and so I will now turn back to Mr. Rodriguez Munoz for his rebuttal. 4 Mr. Rodriguez Munoz, you have to unmute on your phone 5 and the dashboard. 6 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: Attorney Luis Rodriguez Munoz again, Your Honor, just very briefly. 8 The original judgment in this case was for \$132,815, 9 that -- the bulk of which has been paid, Your Honor. So the 10 impact, I would disagree with brother counsel in that front. 11 Again, we're talking about a minimal amount of \$33,203.75 of 12 payment that would then seal the judgment and have it complied 13 and fulfilled, Your Honor. 14 But more importantly, I think that, you know, there 15 is a serious concern that -- what it would do within the 16 17 community, if it's known that attorneys who represent individuals such as these, with little income to seek relief 18 from the Court, knowing that they may never get paid, is a 19 concern and a chilling effect that it would have in the legal 20 community here. 21 22 So, Your Honor, I do believe there is ample reason 2.3 for lifting the stay, and would ask the Court to confirm the motion and so grant it. 2.4

THE COURT: Now, I am, actually, in light of that

25

remark, going to direct a question to Mr. Marini.

2.3

2.4

Mr. Marini, this is a claim that accrued and a judgment that was entered prior to the filing of the Title III. Do you have a comment as to Mr. Rodriguez' argument that not lifting the stay to pay this prepetition judgment now would have a chilling effect on lawyers who may post petition be asked to take up these sorts of cases?

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Yes, Your Honor. Luis Marini for AAFAF.

Your Honor, we address that point in our -- in our papers, and part of what we mention is that that argument should be unavailing in this context. I mean, the First Circuit has recognized that even worthy considerations should be weighed against the impact on HTA's restructuring efforts and overall fiscal health. Opening the gates to this type of action would affect the restructuring efforts of HTA and its fiscal health, and would put these type of creditors in a different position than other creditors of all prepetition judgment or prepetition claims.

We cited to a case for this proposition in our papers, and we think that it does not rise to the level of requiring or meriting that the motion be granted.

THE COURT: Mr. Marini, I probably wasn't as clear as I should have been in my question. Mr. Rodriguez is, at least in part, expressing a concern about a situation that could

2.3

2.4

arise post petition. Say, someone whose employment was interfered with or terminated after the Title III began, and who goes to a lawyer today to seek to bring a complaint or a suit about something that happened, say, in 2019. Would there be any reason for that sort of a lawyer to be concerned that the Title III stay would interfere with the ability to seek, ultimately, compensation for a post-petition wrong, if you will?

MR. MARINI BIAGGI: Sure, Your Honor. I understand that -- two points that I would mention in response. At that point, assuming that a case is filed, and judgment is entered, and that the matter is not resolved consensually, then that -- the parties would have to go through the lift of stay protocol, and HTA -- decide if the stay is going to be lifted or not, and the issue brought to Your Honor.

But from a practical perspective, Your Honor, I think this is the only case that we've had, either post or prepetition, asserting this type of controversy. It's not -- it hasn't been a common or otherwise repeated issue. And we don't think that it merits opening the doors so -- to allow claimants to file these claims. I mean, these claims continue to be filed, and matters continue to be asserted. And we don't think that it would have a chilling effect on the ability of lawyers to bring this action and then discuss whether the stay would continue to remain in place or seek

remedies before the Court. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Rodriguez Munoz, you may now complete your 3 rebuttal. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: Well, Your Honor, I would point 5 out that -- again, for the record, this is Attorney Luis 6 7 Rodriguez Munoz. The fact that there is not a deluge of these cases, 8 Your Honor, should be taken as a consideration that they're 9 being paid, Your Honor. As I pointed out, the bulk of this 10 judgment has been paid. And if they've not handled it the 11 same -- Your Honor, I would suggest that the agency is 12 conceding that this is an obligation that won't go away, even 13 with the instant bankruptcy. And, therefore, there's no 14 reason to delay it until there's a plan or some other 15 procedures --16 (Sound played.) 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ MUNOZ: -- on the matter, Your Honor. 18 So with that, Your Honor, again, I yield back. 19 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 20 I read very carefully the submissions before this 21 argument, and I've listened very carefully to the argument. 22 will now render my oral decision. 2.3 Before the Court is Mr. Luis Duprey Rivera's Motion 2.4 for Relief from the Automatic Stay Pursuant to PROMESA 25

2.3

2.4

Sections 4, 7, 301(c)(3), 304(h), and Bankruptcy Code Sections 362(b)(4) and (d)(1), which is Docket Entry No. 15186 in Case No. 17-3283 -- I'll refer to it as the Motion -- filed by Luis Duprey Rivera and Joe Colon Perez, who I will refer to as the Movants.

The Motion requests relief from the automatic stay with respect to enforcement of an award of statutory attorneys' fees and interest against the Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority, which I will refer to as HTA.

The Court has reviewed the relevant pleadings carefully. The Court now makes its oral ruling as to the Motion, and reserves the right to make nonsubstantive corrections in the transcript of this ruling. The Court has jurisdiction of the Motion pursuant to Section 306(a) of PROMESA.

The Motion seeks relief under Section 362(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, which permits a court to grant relief from the automatic stay for cause. To determine whether cause exists to lift the automatic stay, courts in this circuit examine the factors enumerated by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in In re Sonnax Industries, Inc., 907 F.2d 1280, 1286 (2d Cir. 1990). See Autonomous Municipality of Ponce v. Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico, 939 F.3d 356, 362 (1st Cir. 2019).

Of particular relevance to the instant case are the

2.3

2.4

following factors identified in the *Sonnax* decision: Whether relief would result in a partial or complete resolution of the issues; lack of any connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case; whether the action primarily involves third parties; whether litigation in another forum would prejudice the interests of other creditors; and the impact of the stay on the parties and the balance of harms. I quote from *Sonnax*, 907 F.2d, at 1286.

Here, Movants have failed to demonstrate that the Sonnax criteria weigh in their favor. Lifting the automatic stay would not result in the resolution of issues that would aid the Title III cases. Movants have already liquidated their claim. The only remaining procedure appears to be the collection of the judgment.

To the extent that HTA's creditors ultimately may not receive a full recovery under a future plan of adjustment, payment of Movants' claim in full may directly affect resources available to satisfy other creditors' claims. Thus, lifting the automatic stay would prejudice other similarly situated creditors, all of whom will have to wait for confirmation of a plan of adjustment before receiving payment on their claims.

Movants argue that Section 523(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code precludes the discharge of their claim by a future plan of adjustment. However, the provisions of the

2.3

Bankruptcy Code that are applicable in Title III of PROMESA are enumerated in Section 301 of PROMESA, which is 48 U.S.C. Section 2161(a). Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code is not on that list.

Additionally, Section 523(a)(6) only applies to claims against individuals. The Title III debtors are not individuals, and claims against them, therefore, would not fall within the scope of 523(a)(6), even if that section were incorporated into PROMESA. Movants have, therefore, presented no basis for applying Section 523(a)(6) in these Title III cases.

Accordingly, Movants have not proffered any valid basis for relief from the automatic stay, and have failed, therefore, to show that there is cause for relief from the automatic stay. The Motion is denied, and the Court will enter an appropriate order.

Thank you, Mr. Rodriguez and Mr. Marini, for your arguments.

Is there any other matter that needs to be addressed today? We have come to the end of the Agenda of matters to be addressed. I will wait 30 seconds, and anyone who wishes to be heard should state their name.

(No response.)

THE COURT: This concludes the hearing Agenda for the January Omnibus Hearing. The next scheduled hearing is the

February 1st, 2021, hearing concerning Omnibus Claim
Objections. That telephonic hearing will begin at 9:30 AM
Atlantic Standard Time, which is 8:30 AM Eastern Time. The
Court issued a procedures order providing logistical details
earlier this week.

As always, I would like to thank the court staff in Puerto Rico, Boston, and New York for their work in preparing for and conducting today's hearing, and their outstanding ongoing support of the administration of these very complex cases under very challenging circumstances. Stay safe and keep well, everyone. We are adjourned.

(At 10:20 AM, proceedings concluded.)

\* \* \*

2.3

```
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 1
     DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO)
 2
 3
          I certify that this transcript consisting of 29 pages is
 4
 5
     a true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability of
 6
     the proceedings in this case before the Honorable United
 7
     States District Court Judge Laura Taylor Swain, and the
     Honorable United States Magistrate Judge Judith Gail Dein on
 8
 9
     January 27, 2021.
10
11
12
     S/ Amy Walker
13
     Amy Walker, CSR 3799
14
     Official Court Reporter
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

< Dates >	3799 29:14	ACR 9:7, 10:22,
February 1st, 2021	3: 1:6	11:9, 11:10, 12:2,
28:1		12:7, 12:11,
January 27, 2021 1:16, 4:2, 29:9	< 4 >	12:21, 12:23, 12:24, 13:5, 13:6,
May 3rd, 2017 11:13	4 25:1	14:2, 14:3, 14:14,
\$132,815 21:9	48 27:2	14:17
\$33,203.75 15:23,	10 27.2	act 17:7
21:12		action 20:6, 22:16,
	< 5 >	23:24, 26:4
	523 17:1, 19:11,	actually 12:8, 21:25
< 1 >	27:3	additional 7:24
10:20 28:12	523(a 16:22	Additionally 27:5
1280 25:22	523(a)(6 26:23,	address 5:22, 6:23,
1286 25:22	27:5, 27:8, 27:10	10:13, 22:10
1286. 26:8		addressed 27:19,
12th 20:16	< 7 >	27:21
15186 15:1, 25:2 15666 7:1	7 25:1	adjourned 28:11 Adjustment 10:16,
15730 6:11	7 23.1	20:11, 20:23,
15738 7:15		26:16, 26:21,
15739 7:14	< 8 >	26:25
17-3283 6:12, 25:3	8:30 28:3	Administered 1:11
17-3283. 7:1, 7:15,		administration 28:9
15:1		administrative 9:6,
17-BK-3283 (LTS 1:6	< 9 >	9:12, 13:2
1990). 25:22	907 25:22, 26:8	advance 6:7, 7:13
1st 25:24	939 25:24	advantage 20:10
	9:30 28:2 9:39 4:3	Advisory 1:41 advocating 11:9
< 2 >	9.39 4.3	affect 22:16, 26:17
20 13:19		affected 5:4
2017-BK-3283 4:19	< A >	affiliate 10:5,
2019). 25:24	AAFAF 7:11, 7:16,	10:11
2019. 23:4	8:5, 8:7, 8:11,	agencies 8:15
2161(a 27:3	8:20, 8:21, 10:14,	Agency 1:40, 9:11,
29 29:4	11:18, 13:8, 14:8,	9:14, 9:18, 12:1,
2d 25:22	17:14, 17:17, 22:9	12:13, 12:15,
	AAFAF'S 8:12	24:12
< 3 >	ability 23:6, 23:24,	Agenda 5:21, 6:11,
30 9:25, 27:21	29:5 able 14:5	6:23, 7:10, 14:24, 27:20, 27:24
301 27:2	above 20:10	ago 12:5
301(c)(3 25:1	accepted 20:2	aid 26:12
304 (h 25:1	accessing 5:8	al 1:16, 1:35
306(a 25:14	according 8:17	alert 6:15
356 25:24	Accordingly 27:12	allocation 6:19
362 25:24	accrued 22:2	allotted 6:8, 15:2
362(b)(4 25:2	accurate 6:6, 29:5	allow 8:18, 16:17,
362(d)(1 25:16	acknowledge 6:24	17:21, 23:20

allowed 16:3, 16:13 allowing 18:2, 18:20, 19:3 already 20:14, 26:12 alter 16:1 ambiguous 13:10 America 4:15 American 10:5, 10:11	15:24, 19:3, 21:7, 24:6 attorneys 16:5, 17:22, 21:17, 25:8 Authority 1:41, 25:9 Automatic 14:25, 17:21, 17:25,	believe 12:2, 16:16, 21:22 best 29:5 beyond 6:8 BIAGGI 1:41, 8:6, 9:1, 9:9, 9:20, 11:17, 12:12, 17:15, 17:16,
among 17:25	24:25, 25:6, 25:18, 25:19,	17:20, 19:19,
amount 21:12	26:10, 26:19,	22:8, 23:9
ample 21:22 Amy 29:13, 29:14	27:13, 27:15 Autonomous 25:22	BIENENSTOCK 1:35, 7:22, 7:23, 8:1,
answer 7:25, 12:18,	available 6:12,	8:3
21:1 apologize 6:6	7:14, 8:24, 21:1, 26:18	billing 7:5, 7:9 Board 1:10, 4:17,
apologize 0.0 apologizing 15:15	awaiting 9:10	7:11, 7:16, 7:19,
Appeals 25:21	award 16:23, 17:22,	7:24, 8:13, 8:21,
APPEARANCES 1:30, 2:2	19:3, 25:7 aware 16:1	10:14, 10:16, 25:24
APPEARING 1:32	away 24:13	Boston 28:7
appears 26:13 applicable 8:17,		break 6:21 breaking 6:7
27:1	< B >	Brian 1:36
application 14:7	back 6:22, 21:3,	briefly 5:23, 8:9,
applies 12:7, 17:1, 19:13, 27:5	24:19 balance 26:7	18:9, 21:8 bring 23:3, 23:24
apply 19:13, 19:15,	balancing 20:16	brother 19:7, 21:11
19:24, 20:1, 20:4 applying 27:10	Bankruptcy 16:14, 16:22, 18:16,	brought 13:11, 23:15 Buenos 4:23
appreciated 7:7	18:18, 18:20,	bulk 21:10, 24:10
appropriate 6:1,	18:25, 19:10, 24:14, 25:1,	buzz 6:16, 6:17
14:7, 14:12, 27:16 approved 9:7	25:17, 26:4,	buzzes 6:17, 6:20
argue 26:23	26:24, 27:1, 27:3	
arguing 16:23 argument 15:2, 19:9,	bar 10:17 BARRIOS 2:9, 10:3,	< C > C. 1:41
22:4, 22:11, 24:22	10:4, 10:9, 10:10,	call 4:10, 6:2, 7:17
arguments 27:18 arise 23:1	10:25, 11:8, 12:18, 12:19,	called 9:6 calling 5:18
arising 19:13	13:15, 13:16,	care 7:16
arrangement 12:10	13:21, 13:23,	careful 6:24
Asociacion 2:7, 10:4, 10:10	13:24, 14:13, 14:18, 14:19	carefully 24:21, 24:22, 25:11
assert 19:7	based 15:25	carrier 20:2
asserted 23:22 asserting 23:18	basis 17:11, 27:10, 27:13	Case 4:10, 4:19, 6:12, 7:1, 7:15,
assuming 23:11	began 23:2	13:3, 13:13,
Atlantic 28:3	begin 28:2	13:19, 15:1,
attention 7:4, 14:17 Attorney 10:9, 15:8,	beginning 15:3 behalf 10:10, 11:9	15:20, 17:6, 17:9, 17:12, 18:5,

18:17, 18:19,	11:23, 12:1, 12:7,	concerns 7:5
18:25, 19:25,	12:8, 12:21,	concluded. 28:12
20:24, 21:9,	12:23, 13:5, 14:1,	concludes 27:24
22:20, 23:11,	20:12, 22:19,	conclusion 16:18
23:17, 25:2,	23:21, 26:18,	conducting 28:8
25:25, 26:4, 29:6	26:22, 27:6, 27:7	conference 5:13
cases 7:3, 7:5,	clarify 11:16, 11:18	confirm 21:23
16:12, 19:15,	clarity 5:20	confirmation 26:21
22:7, 24:8, 26:12,	classified 16:24	connection 17:23,
27:11, 28:10	clear 11:14, 11:19,	18:24, 26:3
CAT 2:48	22:23	consensually 23:12
categories 12:9	clearly 6:1	consideration 24:9
cause 17:25, 25:18,	Clerk 6:13	considerations 22:13
27:14	clients 16:6	consistent 5:12,
		13:13
centralizing 18:22	Code 16:22, 19:10,	
certify 29:4	25:1, 25:17,	consisting 29:4
challenging 5:1,	26:24, 27:1, 27:3	contemplating 12:2
28:10	collect 17:21, 19:3	contested 14:24
change 16:1	collection 18:2,	context 22:12
chilling 16:14,	19:22, 26:14	continue 4:25, 5:4,
21:20, 22:6, 23:23	Colon 2:5, 15:9,	11:24, 18:20,
Cir 25:22, 25:24	25:4	23:21, 23:22,
Circuit 18:1, 18:8,	comment 8:10, 9:24,	23:25
19:16, 22:13,	22:4	Continued 2:2
25:19, 25:21	comments 7:24	continuing 8:11
circular 8:14,	common 23:19	contrary 18:21
10:18, 11:20	Commonwealth 1:15,	controversy 23:18
circulate 8:20	1:34, 4:18, 17:23	conversations 13:8
circumstances 5:25,	communities 16:15	coordination 14:11
28:10	community 21:17,	corporations 8:16
cite 16:22	21:21	correct 9:19, 9:20,
cited 19:15, 22:20	compensation 11:5,	12:11
Claim 8:19, 9:7,	23:7	corrections 25:13
9:9, 9:16, 10:18,	complaint 23:3	correctly 10:20
10:22, 11:12,	complaints 11:22	cost 6:12, 16:5
12:3, 12:22,	complete 18:12,	Counsel 4:23, 10:3,
12:25, 13:3, 13:5,	24:3, 26:2	10:13, 17:14,
14:1, 18:2, 18:20,	complex 28:9	19:7, 21:11
19:4, 19:8, 19:13,	complex 20.3	couple 14:20
19:20, 20:3, 22:2,	comprehend 11:11	course 5:23, 7:25,
26:13, 26:17,	comprehensive 7:17,	8:18, 8:24, 9:11,
26:24, 28:1	14:9	9:19, 11:25, 12:4,
·		
claimant 13:3	computer 5:9	12:10, 12:13,
claimants 23:21	conceding 24:13	12:14, 12:16,
claims 8:11, 8:17,	concern 14:11,	13:1, 13:4
8:19, 9:6, 10:15,	21:16, 21:20,	COURTROOM 4:11
10:19, 10:20,	22:25	courts 18:1, 18:7,
10:21, 11:5,	concerned 23:5	25:19
11:10, 11:11,	concerning 18:22,	covered 14:2
11:21, 11:22,	28:1	COVID-19 5:4

	d: 4.00	11.0 11.01
create 6:6	dias 4:23	11:9, 11:21,
creditor 19:5	different 9:8, 22:18	11:23, 12:22
creditors 18:4,	difficult 6:5	Employees 8:13,
20:8, 20:10,	difficulty 6:9	11:22
20:22, 22:17,	direct 6:21, 17:9,	employment 23:1
22:18, 26:6,	22:1	encourage 6:13
26:15, 26:18,	directly 13:9, 26:17	end 27:20
26:20	disagree 21:11	enforcement 25:7
criteria 26:10	disburse 19:2, 20:20	engaged 13:8
critical 18:9	discharge 17:7,	ensure 5:6
CSR 29:14	19:21, 26:24	enter 27:16
cut 11:1	dischargeability	entered 12:21,
cut II.I	19:6	20:15, 22:3, 23:11
	disconnect 6:21	Entry 6:11, 6:25,
/ D \		
< D >	discuss 14:6, 23:24	7:14, 15:1, 25:2
d) (1 25:2	discussed 10:14	enumerated 25:20,
dashboard 5:10,	discussions 14:6,	27:2
5:11, 6:2, 7:20,	14:18	especially 5:3
10:1, 15:6, 21:6	disputes 18:22	Esq 1:41, 2:5, 2:9
de 2:7, 2:8, 10:4,	District 1:1, 1:3,	essential 7:2
10:10	1:24, 1:25, 1:27,	established 13:5
dealt 10:15, 12:25	4:12, 29:1, 29:2,	et 1:16, 1:35
debt 17:5, 19:7	29 <b>:</b> 7	evaluated 12:3,
debtor 16:25, 19:14,	divergence 20:21	12:14
20:5	Docket 1:6, 6:11,	evaluation 11:24
Debtors 1:18, 17:1,	6:25, 7:14, 15:1,	Everybody 4:8
27:6	25:2	everyone 5:5, 5:12,
debts 19:5, 19:13	dollars 19:2, 20:20	6:21, 28:11
decide 23:14	done 13:12	examine 25:20
decision 24:23, 26:1	doors 23:20	Examiner 6:25, 7:2,
definition 12:23	Duprey 2:4, 14:25,	7:3
definitions 11:12	15:9, 17:10,	example 6:17
	24:24, 25:4	exception 19:15
Dein 1:26, 4:15,	,	=
29:8	during 5:18, 5:23,	exempt 19:20
delay 24:15	6:4	EXHIBITS 3:9
deluge 24:8		existing 13:2
demonstrate 26:9		exists 17:25, 25:19
denial 18:8	< E >	expect 14:6, 14:10
denied 27:15	earlier 28:5	expense 16:5
depending 9:10	Eastern 28:3	expenses 7:4
DEPUTY 4:11	economy 20:13	expressed 12:20
designed 16:6	effect 16:14, 18:3,	expressing 22:25
desire 16:11	21:20, 22:6, 23:23	extent 26:15
detail 7:16	efforts 8:11, 18:3,	
detailed 20:24	19:23, 22:14,	
details 28:4	22:16	< F >
determination 18:1	either 23:17	F.2d 25:22, 26:8
determinations 7:8	emphasized 7:6	F.3d 25:24
determine 25:18	Employee 8:17, 8:19,	fact 9:10, 18:20,
dial 6:21	9:5, 10:20, 11:4,	20:9, 24:8
	•	i ·

factor 18:11, 18:13,	front 13:7, 21:11	4:16, 29:6, 29:8
18:24, 19:24,	fulfilled 21:14	hope 5:5
20:1, 20:13, 20:16	full 26:16, 26:17	HTA 18:3, 18:19,
factors 17:25, 18:6,	future 26:16, 26:25	19:2, 19:4, 20:17,
18:7, 18:8, 25:20,		20:19, 20:21,
26:1		22:14, 22:16,
failed 17:24, 26:9,	< G >	23:14, 25:9, 26:15
27:13	Gail 1:26, 29:8	
fall 12:8, 27:8	gates 22:15	
far 7:7	goal 14:8, 18:21	< I >
favor 26:10	God 4:15	identified 18:18,
Federation 10:5,	govern 7:5	20:5, 26:1
10:11	government 8:10,	identify 5:19
Fee 6:25, 7:1, 7:3,	8:15, 9:11, 9:13,	III 1:8, 4:19, 5:1,
19:3	12:1, 12:13,	18:19, 22:4, 23:2,
feel 13:10	12:15, 15:22,	23:6, 26:12, 27:1,
fees 15:24, 15:25,	18:22	27:6, 27:10
16:7, 17:22, 25:8	grant 15:20, 21:24,	immediately 6:10
few 12:5	25:17	impact 20:17, 21:11,
Fifth 20:1	granted 20:18, 22:22	22:14, 26:6
file 23:21	granting 20:8,	implement 13:12
filed 6:11, 6:25,	20:14, 20:17	important 7:6, 16:2
8:19, 9:10, 9:16,	grievance 11:10,	importantly 21:15
11:13, 12:8,	12:23	imposed 15:25
20:11, 20:23,	grievances 10:19,	Inc. 25:22
23:11, 23:22, 25:3	11:6, 11:22	included 17:9
filing 22:3	,	includes 10:19
final 6:20, 7:8,		including 5:15
16:18	< H >	income 21:18
finalized 8:21	handled 12:10,	incorporated 17:2,
Finally 20:16	12:12, 24:11	19:11, 19:12, 27:9
Financial 1:9, 1:40,	happened 23:4	indicating 11:4
4:17, 20:2, 25:23	harms 20:16, 20:18,	individual 17:1
find 7:17	26:7	individuals 21:18,
firm 15:8	health 22:15, 22:17	27:6, 27:7
First 6:23, 7:10,	healthy 5:5	Industries 25:21
7:19, 11:18, 14:2,	hear 6:20, 19:25	inform 7:8
18:11, 19:10,	heard 5:25, 6:3,	informs 8:14
19:16, 22:12	10:2, 13:18,	injure 19:16
Fiscal 1:39, 22:15,	17:17, 27:22	injury 19:14
22:17	Hearing 1:23, 4:20,	inquire 11:14
focus 18:14	4:25, 5:7, 5:14,	instant 15:20,
focuses 18:15	6:5, 6:9, 7:13,	24:14, 25:25
follow-up 9:3	27:24, 27:25,	instead 16:6
following 26:1	28:1, 28:2, 28:8	instrumentalities
footnote 14:2,	heeded 7:9	8 <b>:</b> 15
14:14, 14:16	helping 9:21	insurance 20:2
forum 26:5	Highways 25:8	intends 8:20
forward 16:18	Honorable 1:24,	intent 9:12, 19:16
Fourth 19:24	1:26, 4:13, 4:14,	intentional 16:24,

17:7	< K >	LLP 7:23
interest 4:23, 5:22,	keep 6:14, 28:11	logistical 28:4
20:13, 25:8	keeping 6:15	looking 9:17, 15:22
interested 6:13	knowing 21:19	Luis 1:41, 2:4, 2:5,
interests 20:8,	known 21:17	2:9, 8:7, 10:3,
20:21, 26:6	KIIOWII ZI.I/	10:10, 15:8, 15:9,
interfere 19:4,		17:10, 17:16,
19:22, 23:6	< L >	21:7, 22:8, 24:6,
interfered 23:2	lack 18:24, 26:3	24:24, 25:3
interference 18:25,	Landron 15:9	24.24, 23.3
26:3	language 11:19,	
interrupt 6:4, 6:5,	12:21	< M >
6:7	last 13:21, 15:16	Maestros 2:8, 10:4,
involves 26:4	Laura 1:24, 4:13,	10:10
island 5:3	29:7	Magistrate 1:26,
issue 8:10, 8:14,	law 15:8, 18:5,	4:14, 29:8
11:19, 18:18,	20:25	magnitude 7:4
18:20, 19:6,	lawyer 23:3, 23:5	mainland 5:3
23:15, 23:19	lawyers 16:12, 22:6,	malicious 19:14
issued 5:14, 10:23,	23:24	Management 1:10,
11:11, 17:22, 28:4	least 22:24	4:17, 13:13, 25:23
issues 5:23, 18:13,	legal 21:20	Marini 1:41, 8:6,
18:14, 18:15,	legislature 16:4	8:7, 9:1, 9:9,
18:16, 26:3, 26:11	less 6:20	9:20, 10:13,
item 6:23, 7:10	letter 8:14, 8:20,	11:14, 11:16,
itself 12:7	10:19, 11:20,	11:17, 12:12,
	12:9, 13:10, 14:12	13:1, 13:7, 13:9,
	level 22:21	13:17, 14:10,
< J >	Lift 15:20, 17:21,	17:15, 17:16,
J. 1:35	17:25, 23:13,	17:17, 17:20,
January 27:25	25:19	19:19, 21:2, 22:1,
Joe 2:5, 15:9, 25:4	lifted 16:17, 23:14	22:2, 22:8, 22:23,
Jointly 1:11	Lifting 18:13, 19:1,	23:9, 27:17
Jose 2:9, 10:3, 10:9	19:21, 21:23,	Martin 1:35, 7:23
Juan 4:1	22:5, 26:10, 26:19	matter 9:3, 9:12,
Judge 1:24, 1:25,	light 21:25	9:15, 13:22,
1:26, 1:27, 4:5,	limited 5:15	13:25, 14:24,
4:7, 4:13, 4:15,	line 5:7	15:16, 16:18,
29:7, 29:8	linger 16:13	23:12, 24:18,
Judgment 15:23,	liquidated 26:12	27:19
20:14, 21:9,	Lisa 4:7	matters 5:21, 7:7,
21:13, 22:3, 22:5,	list 27 <b>:</b> 4	23:22, 27:20
22:19, 23:11,	listed 5:20	mean 19:21, 22:12,
24:11, 26:14	listened 24:22	23:21
judicial 5:13, 20:13	litigation 17:6,	members 4:24, 5:16
Judith 1:26, 4:15,	17:23, 18:15,	mention 18:9, 22:11,
29:8	18:16, 20:7,	23:10
jurisdiction 25:14	20:15, 26:5	mentioned 9:4
	litigations 16:5	merit 18:8
	little 21:18	meriting 22:22

merits 23:20	need 5:10, 6:20	orderly 5:6, 19:4
mind 7:8	needed 10:17	orders 5:13
minimal 15:22, 21:12	needs 13:11, 14:17,	ordinary 8:17, 9:11,
minutes 6:16, 6:19,	27:19	9:14, 9:18, 11:24,
15:2, 15:3	New 5:5, 28:7	11:25, 12:4,
mishap 15:16	Next 7:10, 27:25	12:10, 12:13,
misplaced 19:9	NG 4:7	12:15, 13:1, 13:4
misspoke 14:14	No. 1:6, 4:19, 6:11,	original 21:9
moment 11:2	6:12, 7:1, 7:15,	otherwise 23:19
moments 12:5	15:1, 25:2, 25:3	outstanding 28:8
morning 4:5, 4:7,	non-filed 8:16	outweigh 20:18
4:11, 4:22, 7:22,	nondischargeable	overall 22:15
8:2, 8:6, 15:7,	16:25, 17:5,	Oversight 1:9, 4:17,
15:11, 17:16	17:12, 19:8	7:11, 7:16, 7:19,
Motion 14:25, 15:2,	None 3:5, 3:11	7:24, 8:13, 25:23
16:16, 16:22,	nonsubstantive 25:12	own 6:14, 9:18
17:20, 18:9,	normal 10:19, 11:4	
19:19, 20:9,	Normally 17:8	
20:14, 20:17,	Nos. 7:14	< P >
20:18, 21:24,	notice 10:17	PAGE 3:3
22:22, 24:24,		pages 29:4
25:3, 25:6, 25:12,		paid 9:13, 12:15,
25:14, 25:16,	< 0 >	13:4, 15:21,
27:15	objection 18:5	15:24, 21:10,
movant 19:1, 19:3,	Objections 20:24,	21:19, 24:10,
20:10, 20:19	28:2	24:11
Movants 15:4, 17:21,	obligation 16:1,	pandemic 5:4
17:24, 18:2, 18:6,	24:13	paper 19:8
18:18, 19:13,	occurring 4:25	papers 19:15, 22:11,
25:5, 26:9, 26:12,	office 15:17	22:21
26:17, 26:23,	Official 29:15	parameters 16:17
27:9, 27:12 move 16:18	Okay 11:1	part 9:6, 10:15,
Ms 4:7, 4:10, 4:21	Omnibus 1:23, 4:20, 4:25, 27:25, 28:1	10:22, 12:24,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		14:2, 15:23,
Municipality 25:23	one 6:3, 6:16, 8:8, 8:10, 9:3, 10:8,	18:22, 22:11, 22:25
Muniz 8:7, 17:17		
MUNOZ 2:5, 15:3,	13:21, 14:24, 19:5 ones 18:10	partial 18:12, 26:2
15:5, 15:7, 15:8, 15:12, 15:14,	ongoing 5:4, 19:22,	participant 6:9 particular 8:10,
16:11, 17:4, 21:4,	28:9	8:23, 9:13, 12:1,
21:5, 21:7, 24:3,	Opening 22:15, 23:20	12:13, 12:15,
24:5, 24:7, 24:18	operation 5:6	14:17, 16:6, 25:25
mute 5:7, 5:9	opportunity 5:22	PARTIES 1:32, 4:23,
myself 15:17	oral 24:23, 25:11	5:7, 5:16, 5:22,
mysell 15.17	Order 7:12, 10:22,	7:9, 20:4, 23:13,
	11:9, 11:11,	26:5, 26:7
< N >	12:21, 12:24,	pay 15:22, 22:5
name 5:19, 5:25,	13:5, 13:7, 13:13,	pay 13.22, 22.3 payment 11:25, 17:9,
10:2, 10:8, 13:20,	14:2, 14:3, 14:15,	20:11, 21:13,
27:22	14:17, 27:16, 28:4	26:17, 26:21
- / •	11.1/ 2/.10/ 20.4	20.1, 20.21

pending 20:15 pension 11:22	<pre>preferring 19:5 prejudice 18:4,</pre>	provide 5:21 provides 7:3, 11:23,
people 5:2	20:7, 20:9, 20:21,	12:3
Perez 2:5, 15:10,	26:5, 26:19	providing 28:4
25:4	preparing 28:7	provisions 26:25
permit 16:4	prepetition 17:22,	public 4:24, 5:16,
permits 25:17	17:23, 18:14,	6:12, 7:14, 8:15,
permitted 5:15	22:5, 22:18,	8:17, 11:23
person 5:15, 6:3, 17:8	22:19, 23:18 present 4:14	PUERTO 1:3, 1:11, 1:16, 1:35, 1:39,
perspective 23:16	presentations 5:24	2:9, 4:1, 4:13,
petition 22:6, 23:1	presented 27:9	4:18, 4:19, 10:4,
phone 5:10, 5:11,	presiding 4:14	10:10, 25:8,
7:20, 10:1, 15:6,	press 4:24, 5:16	25:24, 28:7, 29:2
21 <b>:</b> 5	primarily 26:4	punished 5:17
phones 5:7	Prime 6:13	punitive 16:7, 16:23
PHV 1:35, 1:36	principles 7:4	Pursuant 12:9,
Pietrantoni 8:7,	prior 22:3	12:20, 13:4,
17:17	probably 22:23	24:25, 25:14
place 20:9, 20:19,	procedure 9:8, 9:17,	pursue 18:2
23:25	12:7, 26:13	put 22:17
plaintiff 17:9	Procedures 7:12,	
Plan 10:16, 20:11,	8:18, 9:7, 9:12,	
20:23, 24:15,	9:14, 14:11,	< Q >
26:16, 26:21,	24:16, 28:4	qualify 12:22
26:25	proceed 10:7, 15:12,	question 9:3, 9:25,
played. 6:18, 16:10,	15:13, 16:3	10:13, 12:18,
19:18, 24:17	Proceedings 2:47,	16:21, 22:1, 22:24
pleadings 20:25,	5:2, 5:8, 5:19,	questions 6:8, 7:25,
25:10 Diagram 4:10 F:0	13:2, 28:12, 29:6	8:2, 8:25, 9:2,
Please 4:10, 5:9,	process 11:24, 12:14	21:1, 21:3
5:19, 5:25, 6:4, 6:10, 11:16, 15:5,	processed 12:4 produced 2:47	quite 7:17 quote 26:7
17:19	proffered 27:12	quote 20.7
point 8:8, 8:23,	PROMESA 1:8, 4:19,	
10:2, 10:12,	15:25, 16:13,	< R >
11:13, 13:18,	17:2, 19:11,	raised 5:23
13:21, 22:10,	24:25, 25:15,	RAMOS 2:9, 10:3,
23:11, 24:5	27:1, 27:2, 27:9	10:9, 10:25, 11:8,
pointed 10:13,	promote 16:12	12:19, 13:15,
16:25, 24:10	proof 8:19, 9:9,	13:21, 13:24,
points 23:10	9:16, 10:18,	14:13, 14:19
policies 5:13	10:21, 11:12,	rather 9:17
Ponce 25:23	12:22, 12:25,	Re 1:6, 4:17, 25:21
position 17:8, 22:18	13:5, 14:1	read 20:25, 24:21
post 22:6, 23:1,	proofs 12:8	ready 7:25, 15:12
23:17	proposition 22:20	reason 21:22, 23:5,
post-petition 23:7	Proskauer 7:23	24:15
practical 23:16	protocol 23:14	rebuttal 16:20,
precludes 26:24	proven 19:19	21:4, 24:4

receipt 6:24	9:2, 9:22, 9:23	4:18, 4:19, 10:4,
receive 26:16	Reporter 29:15	10:11, 25:8,
receiving 26:21	reports 7:11, 7:12,	25:24, 28:7, 29:2
_		rise 22:21
recognized 22:13	7:17, 9:25	
reconcile 14:6	represent 15:9,	Rivera 2:4, 14:25,
reconciled 9:10,	21 <b>:</b> 17	17:10, 24:24, 25:4
9:13	representative 1:13,	Rodriguez 2:5, 15:3,
reconciliation 9:5,	4:18	15:5, 15:7, 15:8,
9:7		
	representatives	15:12, 15:14,
reconciling 8:16	8:12, 8:14	16:11, 17:4, 21:4,
record 5:20, 24:6	requested 7:12	21:5, 21:7, 22:4,
recorded 2:47	requests 25:6	22:24, 24:3, 24:5,
recording 5:14	require 5:24, 19:2	24:7, 24:18, 27:17
records 9:18	required 8:20,	Rose 7:23
	11:25, 20:20	Rosen 1:36
recovery 26:16		
refer 25:3, 25:4,	requires 19:16	rule 5:17, 6:7
25:9	requiring 22:22	ruling 25:11, 25:13
reference 13:25	reserves 25:12	
referring 9:16	resolution 8:18,	
refers 11:21	11:25, 18:12,	< S >
reflected 7:16	18:15, 26:2, 26:11	s. 1:36
refunds 10:20, 11:6	resolve 8:11, 18:16	s/ 29:13
	•	
regarding 8:10	resolved 12:14,	safe 5:5, 28:10
related 18:19	18:19, 18:21,	San 4:1
relevance 25:25	20:15, 23:12	sanctions 5:17
relevant 18:16,	resolving 9:18	satisfactory 13:14
25 <b>:</b> 10	resources 20:21,	satisfy 26:18
Relief 14:25, 18:12,	26:18	save 4:15
19:1, 21:18,	respect 25:7	scheduled 15:16,
24:25, 25:6,	respectfully 10:12	27:25
25:16, 25:17,	respectively 7:15,	scope 27:8
26:2, 27:13, 27:14	7:18	seal 21:13
rely 19:10	Response 17:1, 17:2,	Second 18:24, 19:12,
remain 5:2, 23:25	23:10	25 <b>:</b> 21
remaining 6:16,	response. 14:22,	seconds 9:25, 13:19,
26:13	27 <b>:</b> 23	14:21, 27:21
remains 20:19	responsibility 20:2	Section 16:22, 17:1,
remark 22:1	rest 16:19	19:10, 19:11,
remarks 5:24, 7:18,	restructuring 18:3,	25:14, 25:16,
7:21	19:4, 19:23,	26:23, 27:2, 27:3,
remedies 24:1	22:14, 22:16	27:5, 27:8, 27:10
remind 5:12	result 16:8, 16:9,	Sections 25:1
remove 17:7	18:12, 26:2, 26:11	seek 21:18, 23:3,
render 24:23	resume 8:16	23:6, 23:25
rendered 16:15	retransmission 5:14	seeks 17:20, 19:1,
reorganization 18:23	review 6:24	25:16
_		
repeated 23:19	reviewed 25:10	seemed 11:1
repeatedly 7:6	RICO 1:3, 1:11,	select 5:9
report 6:25, 7:2,	1:16, 1:35, 1:39,	serious 21:16
8:9, 8:24, 8:25,	2:9, 4:1, 4:13,	seriously 14:10
•	•	

7.2 16.15		l + 10.0 20.20
services 7:3, 16:15	statutory 25:7	tens 19:2, 20:20
session 4:13	Stay 14:25, 15:20,	Tenth 20:13
set 16:16	16:17, 17:21,	terminated 23:2
seventh 20:7	17:25, 18:13,	termination 16:8
show 27:14	18:14, 19:1, 19:2,	terms 13:4
showing 17:24, 19:16	19:22, 20:19,	thanks 7:1
shown 19:17	21:23, 22:5, 23:6,	they've 24:11
similar 12:11	23:13, 23:14,	Third 14:14, 14:16,
similarly 18:4,	23:25, 24:25,	19:20, 20:4, 26:4
20:22, 26:19	25:6, 25:18,	thorough 7:2
situated 18:4,	25:19, 26:6,	though 14:8
20:22, 26:20	26:11, 26:19,	thoughts 5:2
situation 22:25	27:13, 27:15,	thousands 19:2,
Sixth 20:4	28:10	20:20
Solutions 5:10, 6:2,	stenography 2:47	three 15:3
15:18	subject 10:17,	throughout 13:1
someone 23:1	10:21, 11:12,	Title 1:8, 4:19,
Sonnax 18:8, 18:11,	12:22, 12:24,	5:1, 18:19, 22:3,
18:24, 19:24,	13:5, 14:1	23:2, 23:6, 26:12,
20:1, 25:21, 26:1,	submissions 24:21	27:1, 27:6, 27:10
26:7, 26:10	submit 17:4, 19:9	Today 4:24, 5:6,
Sorry 10:6, 13:24,	submitted 18:6	5:21, 8:2, 14:24,
14:15	successful 13:3	23:3, 27:20, 28:8
sort 14:7, 23:5	suffer 20:19	tort 16:24
sorts 11:6, 22:7	sufficient 16:17	toward 13:10
Sound 6:17, 6:18,	suggest 24:12	track 6:14, 6:15
11:1, 14:8, 16:10,	suit 23:4	Transcript 2:47,
19:18, 24:17	sum 15:22	6:6, 25:13, 29:4
speaker 5:18, 6:13,		transcription 29:5
6:15	supplement 8:9, 8:23 supplemental 9:23	_
		Transportation 25:9 tribunal 19:25
speakers 5:20, 6:2	support 20:8, 28:9	
speaking 4:6, 5:8	supporting 20:25	true 29:5
specialized 19:25	Swain 1:24, 4:5,	trust 13:12
specific 13:6	4:14, 29:7	turn 7:10, 17:14,
specified 6:22	system 15:18	21:3
spoken 5:21		two 6:16, 6:17,
staff 28:6		6:19, 6:20, 23:10
stakeholders 5:1	< T >	type 12:3, 22:15,
Standard 28:3	Tacoronte 4:10, 4:21	22:17, 23:18
start 15:15	talks 18:11	types 11:5, 11:21
state 5:25, 10:1,	tax 10:20, 11:6	
10:8, 13:19, 27:22	taxes 11:6	
stated 10:16, 10:21	Taylor 1:24, 4:14,	< U >
States 1:1, 1:25,	29:7	ultimately 23:7,
1:27, 4:12, 4:15,	Teachers 10:5, 10:11	26:15
25:20, 29:7, 29:8	technically 12:6	unavailing 22:12
status 6:25, 7:11,	telephonic 4:24,	underlying 17:6
8:9, 8:25, 9:2	5:6, 7:13, 28:2	understand 9:22,
statute 15:25	TELEPHONICALLY 1:32	23:9
statutes 16:2	ten 15:2	understanding 11:8,
		•

```
12:20, 13:11,
                        whom 26:20
  14:9, 17:11
                        willful 19:14
understood 12:6
                        wish 5:24, 10:2,
undue 20:10
                          13:18
                        wishes 6:3, 9:24,
unduly 18:3
unfiled 9:5
                         27:21
Unfortunately 15:17,
                        within 8:20, 11:11,
  16:4
                          16:13, 21:16, 27:8
Union 8:13, 9:6,
                        WITNESSES 3:3
  9:17
                        work 11:18, 28:7
unions 8:22, 11:19
                        workable 14:9
United 1:1, 1:25,
                        working 8:12, 10:18,
  1:27, 4:12, 4:15,
                          13:10
  25:20, 29:6, 29:8
                        worthy 22:13
unlawful 16:8
                        writing 7:13
unmute 5:11, 7:19,
                        wrongful 17:6
  10:1, 15:5, 21:5
until 24:15
urge 13:9
                        < Y >
                        year 5:5
usual 7:11
                        yield 16:19, 24:19
                        York 28:7
< V >
                        yourself 5:19
v. 25:23
valid 27:12
various 11:21
Vera 15:9
versed 15:17
Violations 5:16
< W >
wait 9:25, 13:19,
  14:20, 26:20,
  27:21
waiting 20:11, 20:22
Walker 29:13, 29:14
wanted 8:23, 11:2
wants 13:19
wave 6:1
week 8:21, 28:5
weigh 26:10
weighed 22:14
weighs 18:13, 18:25,
  20:14, 20:17
Welcome 4:23
Whether 12:24,
  18:12, 18:15,
  23:25, 25:18,
  26:1, 26:4, 26:5
```